

# COURTS IN COCHISE COUNTY INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY STRATEGIC PLAN 2016-2018 SUMMARY



## LOCAL INITIATIVES, DRIVERS, AND PRESSURES

- Establish a Veterans' Court and continue Arizona Memory Project.
- Enable full e-filing of civil cases online, update website content, and enhance public access to older documents.
- Expand videoconferencing for remote court reporter and interpreter services.
- Reduce physical record storage needs; create automated archival of superior court documents.
- Implement JOLTSaz juvenile management system; implement A+ School system for LearnLab; update Juvenile Dept. phone system.
- Decrease limited jurisdiction court staff workload associated with data entry; convert data and implement LJ AJACS; continue e-citation and electronic payments via the Web, accomplish electronic records exchange with DPS in justice courts.

## CY 2013/14 ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Installed remote interpreter system in Superior Court and two Justice Courts.
- Implemented red light cameras for LJ courts then dismantled for political reasons.
- Installed new audio system in five Superior Court Divisions and two Justice Courts as well as assistive listening devices in three Justice Courts.
- Implemented credit card payments via the web using Point and Pay program.
- Upgraded OnBase EDMS to enable PC replacement and integration.

## Statewide Projects: Impacts, Concerns, and Participation Plans

<b>LJ CMS</b>	Looking to reduce staff workload attributed to rekeying data; jury financial functions outside AZTEC; will be mid-cycle to late adopter.
<b>JOLTSaz</b>	Looking for same level of capability as with GJ court implementation of AJACS; need financial integration with AJACS Day One; will be an early adopter.
<b>LJ EDMS</b>	All courts in county have EDMS on disconnected scanning model.
<b>e-Filing/Std Forms</b>	Encouraging statewide approach; some LJ courts have e-citation; will be mid-cycle adopter.
<b>Bench Automation</b>	No comment given; will be late adopter.
<b>Architecture</b>	Not developing apps;
<b>Risk</b>	Multiple financial products outside AJACS some of which are out of support; some retirement software noted in last 2 plans remains (MS-Money - clerk, QuickBooks/Quicken – LJs + adult prob, WP8 + old Word versions - adult prob, SQL2000 - superior court audio server).

<b>TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS</b>					
<b>Project</b>	<b>Year/ Status</b>	<b>Project Detail Provided</b>			<b>Comments</b>
		<b>Full<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Skeletal<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Mention<sup>3</sup></b>	
<b>Arizona Memory Project Support</b>	FY16		X		Superior Court with SLAPR
<b>Electronic Citation</b>	FY16		X		LJ Courts, ongoing
<b>Imaging Phase II</b>	FY16		X		Clerk xfer to microfilm + index
<b>Outsource Interpreter Services</b>	FY16		X		Superior Court, videoconference
<b>Phone System Update</b>	FY15			X	Juvenile Probation Dept.
<b>JOLTSaz Juvenile Management System</b>	FY16	X			Incl OnBase Interface
<b>e-Filing Civil Documents</b>	FY16		X		Superior Court AZTurboCourt
<b>AJACS GJ Enhancements</b>	FY16	X			Superior Court
<b>LJ CMS Replacement</b>	FY16	X			LJ Courts
<b>Veterans' Court</b>	FY16		X		Superior Court, Justice Courts
<b>Interface with ADRS</b>	FY16		X		Two justice courts
<b>Justice Web Interface</b>	FY17			X	Superior Court, Adult Probation
<b>Website Updates</b>	FY15		X		After new software installed
<b>Reduce Physical File Storage</b>	FY16		X		All Courts
<b>Court Reporting Through Video / Internet</b>	FY16		X		Remote court reporters

TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS					
Project	Year/ Status	Project Detail Provided			Comments
		Full <sup>1</sup>	Skeletal <sup>2</sup>	Mention <sup>3</sup>	
New Audio System	FY16		X		Division IV last remaining courtroom
New Videoconferencing System	FY16		X		Superior Court and some LJ courts
New LearnLab Software	FY19		X		Juvenile Probation
Equipment Replacement	FY16		X		Juvenile Probation

Note 1:

An “X” in “Full” indicates that the court has provided full detailed information about the project according to the general parameters outlined in the Commission on Technology’s Project Management Methodology. Also, risk analysis, impact, project costs and funding information has been provided.

Note 2:

An “X” in “Skeletal” indicates that the court provided detail about the local project in the master projects listing spreadsheet. Complete information, usually risks, impact analysis, project costs and funding, was not provided.

Note 3:

An “X” in “Mention” indicates that the court mentioned this project in a summary or listed it in an initiative. It may have been a phrase or a full paragraph of description, but did not contain detailed project-oriented information. If these projects are related to pursuing standards or directions already adopted (e.g., OnBase EDMS implementation, Jury+ upgrade, digital audio in the courtroom), then any mention which includes appropriate funding information is sufficient.